

**United States District Court
EASTERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK**

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA
v.

ORDER OF DETENTION PENDING TRIAL

Christopher Walcott

Case Number: 21-MJ-425

In accordance with the Bail Reform Act, 18 U.S.C. §3142(f), a detention hearing has been held. I conclude that the following facts require the detention of the defendant pending trial in this case.

Part I - Findings of Fact

- ☐ (1) The defendant is charged with an offense described in 18 U.S.C. §3142(f)(1) and has been convicted of a (federal offense) (State or local offense that would have been a federal offense if a circumstance giving rise to federal jurisdiction had existed) that is
- ☐ a crime of violence as defined in 18 U.S.C. §3156(a)(4).
 - ☐ an offense for which the maximum sentence is life imprisonment or death.
 - ☐ an offense for which a maximum term of imprisonment of ten years or more is prescribed in _____
 - ☐ a felony that was committed after the defendant had been convicted of two or more prior federal offense described in 18 U.S.C. §3142(f)(1)(A)-(C), or comparable state or local offenses.
- ☐ (2) The offense described in finding (1) was committed while the defendant was on release pending trial for a federal, state or local offense.
- ☐ (3) A period of not more than five years has elapsed since the (date of conviction)(release of the defendant from imprisonment) for the offense described in finding (1).
- ☐ (4) The defendant has not rebutted the presumption established by finding Nos.(1), (2) and (3) that no condition or combination of conditions will reasonably assure the safety of (an)other person(s) and the community.

Alternative Findings (A)

- ☐ (1) There is probable cause to believe that the defendant has committed an offense
- ☐ for which a maximum term of imprisonment of ten years or more is prescribed in 21 U.S.C. § _____
 - ☐ under 18 U.S.C. §924(c).
- ☒ (2) The defendant has not rebutted the presumption established by finding (1) that no condition or combination of conditions will reasonably assure the appearance of the defendant as required and the safety of the community.

Alternative Findings (B)

- ☐ (1) There is a serious risk that the defendant will not appear.
- ☐ (2) There is a serious risk that the defendant will endanger the safety of another person or the community.

Part II - Written Statement of Reasons for Detention

I find that the credible testimony and information submitted at the hearing establishes by a *preponderance of the evidence/clear and convincing evidence* that no conditions will reasonably assure *defendant's appearance/the safety of the community* because

- ☐ defendant lacks substantial ties to the community.
- ☐ defendant is not a U.S. citizen and an illegal alien.
- ☐ defendant has no stable history of employment.
- ☐ defendant presented no credible sureties to assure his appearance.
- ☐ but leave is granted to reopen and present a bail package in the future.
- ☐ defendant's family resides primarily in _____

The Court denied the application based on risk of dangerousness posed on the govt proper as to video and witness evidence there is no meaningful evidence as to a risk of failure

Part III - Directions Regarding Detention

The defendant is committed to the custody of the Attorney General or his designated representative for confinement in a corrections facility separate, to the extent practicable, from persons awaiting or serving sentences or being held in custody pending appeal. The defendant shall be afforded a reasonable opportunity for private consultation with defense counsel. On order of a court of the United States or on request of an attorney for the Government, the person in charge of the corrections facility shall deliver the defendant to the United States marshal for the purpose of an appearance in connection with a court proceeding.

Dated: 4/16 , 20 21
Brooklyn, New York

[Signature]
UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE

to appear. Δ has leave to renew the application upon review of the govt evidence if it is not consistent with the proffer.